



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vizginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/736,230	12/15/2000	Masaaki Noda	0819-474	0819-474 9138	
7	590 06/06/2003				
Eric J. Robinson			EXAMINER		
Nixon Peabody LLP Suite 800			NGUYEN,	IOSEPH H	
8180 Greensboro Drive McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ <del></del> ,	£	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/736,230	NODA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Nguyen	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	ebruary 2003 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/736,230

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 5 USC § 105

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over figures 14-18 of the acknowledged prior art (APA) in view of Satoh et al.

Regarding claim 1, figures 14 -18 of (APA) disclose a semiconductor device with a high breakdown voltage comprising a semiconductor substrate 1 of a first conductivity type; a semiconductor region 2 of a second conductivity type which is defined in the substrate; a drain region 6 of the second conductivity type which is defined approximately at the center of the semiconductor region; a body region 4 of the first conductivity type, which is defined in the semiconductor region so as to be spaced apart from and to surround the drain region; a source region 5 of the second conductivity type which is defined in the body region; a gate insulating film 8 deposited over the body region; a gate electrode 10b formed on the gate insulating film; a field insulating film 3 deposited over a part of the semiconductor region, the part being located between the body and drain regions; a metal electrode 15 electrically connected to the drain region; a plurality of electrically floating gate electrodes 16,17, which are spaced apart from and surround the drain region when the device is viewed from over the substrate; and an interlevel dielectric film 9 over the gate insulating film and the field insulating film and

Application/Control Number: 09/736,230

Art Unit: 2815

the floating plate electrodes; wherein parts of the metal electrode are extended onto the interlevel dielectric film and are located over the floating plate electrodes. (APA) does not disclose each said part of the metal electrodes is capacitively coupled to an associated one of the plate electrodes. However, Satoh et al discloses on figure 5A each part of the metal electrode 16 is capacitively coupled to an associated one of the plate electrodes 14. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify (APA) by having each part of the metal electrode being capacitively coupled to an associated one of the plate electrode for the purpose of improving the performance of the semiconductor device.

Together, (APA) and Satoh et al disclose the structures of claims 2-12.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/23/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that APA relates to a semiconductor device with high breakdown voltage, while Satoh is directed to a non-volatile semiconductor memory device. However, figures 14-18 of (APA) structurally relates to a non-volatile semiconductor memory device because the specification in no way states the disclosed device is not a memory device, and in fact refers to some elements as "floating", a term associated with a memory device therein. On the other hand, the term "a high breakdown voltage" is merely a preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any

Application/Control Number: 09/736,230

Art Unit: 2815

patentable weight where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for

completeness but, instead, the structural limitations are able to stand alone (MPEP,

2111.02).

Moreover, applicant argues that in (APA) the metal electrode 15 that is electrically

Page 4

connected to the drain region is not connected to the floating metal electrodes.

However, Saitoh et al discloses this feature as mentioned above in this Office Action.

Further, the metal electrode 15 is extended onto the interval dielectric film 9 and located

over the floating plate electrodes 16, 17 in figure 15 in the same manner as disclosed in

figure 2 of the present application. Therefore, the combination of (APA) and Saitoh

would disclose all the structures set forth in the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382 for

regular communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0956.

JN

June 3, 2003

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800